At an IAS Term Part 83 of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in the County of Kings, at the Courthouse, located at 141 Livingston Street, Brooklyn, New York on the Aday of Ture 2014.

PRESENT: HON. IN	MOR, MGRID JUSEPH	as to only
STATE OF NEW YOR	ACTING SUPREME COURT JUS	STICE
SUPREME COURT: C	OUNTY OF KINGS	
EMIGRANT FUNDING CORPORATION,		INDEX No. 15896/2011
25	Plaintiff,	
-agains	t-	MORTGAGED PREMISES:
3	- *	Parcel I:
KENSINGTON REALTY	Y GROUP CORP., VICTORIA	Block 5190 Lot 3
STENNETT-BAILEY, LAW OFFICE OF ALAN		2408 Clarendon Road
WENREB PLLC, NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT		Brooklyn, New York
OF HOUSING, PRESERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT, NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT Parcel II:		Pornal II.
OF FINANCE, STATE OF NEW YORK		Block 7556 Entire Lot
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE,		109 Amersfort Place
NEW YORK CITY ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD, "JOHN DOE NO. 1" to "JOHN DOE NO.		Brooklyn, New York
XXX," inclusive, the last thirty names being fictitious		
and unknown to plaintiff,	the persons or parties intended	
being the tenants, occupants, persons or corporations, if any, having or claiming an interest in or lien upon the		
premises described in the		
promises decertoed in the	Jonipiani,	
	Defendants.	
3.	×X	
Ψ.		
Recitation	on, as required by CPLR §2219(a), of the papers considered in the review of
Plaintiffs' Motio		
I minima mono		*
35	Papers	Numbered
Order to Show Cause and Affidavits/Affirmations Annexed		12
Cross-Motion and Affidavits/Affirmations		
	Answering Affidavits/Affirmations	
	Reply Affidavits/Affirmations	

In this foreclosure matter for the premises located at 24000 larendon Road and 109 Amersfort Place, Brooklyn, New York, defendants Kensing on Realty Ground Corp. and Victoria Stennett-Bailey move by order to show cause for an order deglaring that the plaintiff is obligated to apply insurance

proceeds in the amount of \$193,000.00 to the principal balance of the mortgage. The defendants also request that the court toll and forever bar the plaintiff from collecting any interest upon the mortgage loan or charging any related fees.

In support of the motion, the defendants allege that Emigrant caused the default in mortgage payments because it obtained excessive and duplicative insurance that increased the monthly mortgage payments to \$9,510.00. The defendants claim that Emigrant received \$193,000.00 after a fire occurred at the Amersfort property but refused to apply the money to the principal balance of the loan or release it to cover repair costs. The defendants allege that Emigrant also refused to modify the mortgage and wrongfully accelerated the principal. The defendants argue further that Emigrant acted in bad faith when it exercised its option to apply the default interest rate of 24%, because Emigrant caused the default to occur.

Emigrant claims that it secured its own insurance after the defendants' insurance policy lapsed.

Emigrant argues that the insurance proceeds were not released, because the defendants failed to present proof of completed repairs. Emigrant also contends that it was entitled to accelerate the loan and apply the default interest rate due to the defendants' failure to comply with certain provisions contained in the mortgage.

The issue before the court is whether plaintiff caused the defendant's default when it obtained a costly insurance policy for the Amersfort property and refused to turn over the insurance proceeds to cover the cost of repairs.

The general rule is that the mortgagor of property destroyed by fire is entitled only to that portion of the insurance proceeds which exceed the amount of the mortgage debt (Beets Wal Realty Corp. v New York Property Ins. Underwriting Ass'n, 260 AD2d 520 [2d Dept 1999]). Subdivision 4 of section 254 of the Real Property Law provides that the mortgagee is not obligated to turn over any money to the mortgagor so long as there exists any default by the mortgagor in the performance of any of the terms or provisions of the mortgage (RPL§ 254(4); Builders Affiliates, Inc. v North River Ins. Co., 91 AD2d 360, 365 [1st Dept 1983]; Federal Sav. and Loan Ass'n v Herbert Kallen, Inc., 66 AD2d 793 [2d Dept 1978]). If the mortgagor is not in default, section 254(4) requires that the mortgagor present proof that the damage caused by the fire was repaired before the mortgagee is required to turnover any insurance money.

In this case, sections 21 and 22 of the mortgage agreement enumerates several events of default, including failure to maintain insurance. The defendant acknowledges that Allstate canceled her insurance policy covering the Amersfort property in June 2008. Therefore, in accordance with the terms of the mortgage, Emigrant was entitled to procure its own insurance policy, accelerate payment of the note, and apply the default interest rate of 24% to the unpaid principal balance of the note. Additionally, Emigrants refusal to turn over any of the insurance proceeds to the defendant comports with applicable law. An event of default occurred by the time Emigrant received the insurance proceeds, and the defendant failed to submit proof of actual costs incurred to repair the property.

Accordingly, the defendant's Order to Show Cause is granted to solely to the extent that, it is hereby

ORDERED and DECLARED, that Emigrant Funding Corporation is obligated to apply the insurance proceeds received in connection with the fire that occurred at the Amersfort property to reduce the unpaid principal balance of the note in accordance with the provisions set forth in the mortgage agreement and Section 254 of the Real Property Law.

The defendant shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry upon plaintiff.

This constitutes the decision and order of the court.

ENTER:

Hon. Ingrid Joseph

Acting Justice, Supreme Court

HON MORED JOSEPH

KINGS COUNTY CLERI

Printed 12(17/2014